Patrice L. Bishop (182256)				
service@ssbla.com STULL, STULL & BRODY				
10940 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 2300				
Los Angeles, CA 90024				
Tel: (310) 209-2468 Fax: (310) 209-2087	**E-Filed 1/6/2010**			
Tax. (310) 209-2087				
Howard T. Longman TSVI@aol.com				
STULL, STULL & BRODY				
6 East 45th Street New York, NY 10017				
Геl: (212) 687-7230				
Fax: (212) 490-2022				
Gary S. Graifman				
ggraifman@kgglaw.com KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFMAN	1			
747 Chestnut Ridge Road Chestnut Ridge, New York 10977				
Tel: (845) 356-2570				
Fax: (845) 356-4335				
Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT			
NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA			
SAN JOSE	DIVISION			
MARTIN VOGEL and KENNETH MAHONEY, on Behalf of Themselves	CASE NO.: C08-03123-JF			
and All Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION			
Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]			
v.	ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE			
ADDIE ING CTEVEND IODG EDED	Date: January 8, 2010 Time: 10:30 a.m.			
APPLE, INC., STEVEN P. JOBS, FRED ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, MILLARD S. DREXLER,	Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel			
ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, MILLARD S. DREXLER, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, and JEROME P.				
ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, MILLARD S. DREXLER, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, and JEROME P. YORK,	Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel			
ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, MILLARD S. DREXLER,	Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel			
ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, MILLARD S. DREXLER, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, and JEROME P. YORK,	Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel			
ANDERSON, NANCY HEINEN, WILLIAM V. CAMPBELL, MILLARD S. DREXLER, ARTHUR D. LEVINSON, and JEROME P. YORK,	Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel			

1	D1 ' ('CC M (' M 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	Plaintiffs Martin Vogel and Kenneth Mahoney ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants Apple
2	Inc. ("Apple"), Fred D. Anderson, Nancy R. Heinen, Steven P. Jobs, William V. Campbell,
3	Millard S. Drexler, Arthur D. Levinson, and Jerome B. York (collectively, the
4	"Defendants") hereby stipulate as follows:
5	WHEREAS, on August 24, 2006, Plaintiffs filed a class action complaint before this
6	Court alleging that certain defendants violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the
7	"Exchange Act"), including § 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, and § 20(a). That action was
8	entitled Martin Vogel and Kenneth Mahoney v. Steven Jobs, et al., Case No. 5:06-cv-05208-JF
9	(N.D. Cal.) (the "Apple Backdating Action No. 1"), and concerning alleged practice of issuing
10	backdating stock options;
11	WHEREAS, on October 24, 2006, New York City Employees' Retirement System
12	("NYCERS") moved for their appointment as Lead Plaintiff of the Apple Backdating Action No.
13	1 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4;
14	WHEREAS, on January 19, 2007, this Court appointed NYCERS as Lead Plaintiff of that
15	litigation;
16	WHEREAS, on March 23, 2007, NYCERS filed, as Lead Plaintiff, its Consolidated
17	Complaint and asserted claims under §§ 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and the common
18	law duty of disclosure. The Consolidated Complaint did not assert any claims for Defendants'
19	alleged violations of §10(b) of the Exchange Act;
20	WHEREAS, on November 14, 2007, this Court granted Defendants' motion to dismiss the
21	Consolidated Complaint on the ground, inter alia, that NYCERS failed to plead standing to bring
22	a direct claim ("Dismissal Order");
23	WHEREAS, this Court granted NYCERS leave to amend its complaint but held that
24	NYCERS could only amend for the purpose of attempting to plead a derivative claim, not a direct
25	class action claim;
26	WHEREAS, on December 14, 2007, NYCERS filed a motion for leave to file a First
27	Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint that contained direct class action claims for
28	alleged violations of § 10(b) of the Exchange Act;
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CMC, CASE NO.: 08-ev-03123-JF

1	WHEREAS, on May 14, 2008, this Court denied NYCERS's motion for leave to file an
2	amended complaint ("Denial Order");
3	WHEREAS, on June 12, 2008, this Court entered Judgment for defendants ("Judgment");
4	WHEREAS, on June 17, 2008, NYCERS filed its Notice of Appeal of the Dismissal
5	Order, the Denial Order and subsequent Judgment ("NYCERS's Appeal");
6	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed this action ("Apple Backdating Action No. 2") on June 27,
7	2008, alleging that Defendants violated § 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder
8	and § 20(a) of the Exchange Act by, inter alia, issuing backdated stock options to themselves and
9	other Apple employees;
10	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants agreed that if this litigation were to go forward
11	prior to resolution of NYCERS's appeal(s) of the Apple Backdating Action No. 1, there was a
12	risk of duplicative litigation regarding Defendants' alleged backdating and alleged violations of
13	the Exchange Act, and that this risk could result in a waste of judicial resources;
14	WHEREAS, pursuant to the parties' stipulation, on July 22, 2008, this Court entered an
15	Order staying this action pending the resolution of NYCERS's Appeal;
16	WHEREAS, on March 10, 2009, this Court continued the Case Management Conference
17	set for March 6, 2009, to September 4, 2009, at 10:30 a.m.;
18	WHEREAS, on September 3, 2009, this Court continued the Case Management
19	Conference set for September 4, 2009, to January 8, 2010, at 10:30 a.m.;
20	WHEREAS, the Ninth Circuit heard oral argument in NYCERS's Appeal on October 7,
21	2009, and took the matter under submission;
22	WHEREAS, the Ninth Circuit has not yet issued a ruling on NYCERS's Appeal;
23	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that it would be premature and a waste of
24	judicial resources to hold the status conference prior to the Ninth Circuit's ruling on NYCERS's
25	Appeal;
26	//
27	//
28	//

- 2 -

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING CMC, CASE NO.: 08-cv-03123-JF

110 W, THEREFORE, IT IS HERED I S	TIPULATED AND AGREED:
1. The Case Management Co	onference should be continued, if this Court is so
amenable, for approximately 90 days from	m Friday, January 8, 2010, to Friday, April 9,
2010, or a later date as ordered by the Co	ourt.
2. Plaintiffs and Defendants	promptly shall notify this Court after the Ninth
Circuit issues a ruling on NYCERS's App	ppeal.
IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
Dated: December 29, 2009	Patrice L. Bishop STULL, STULL & BRODY
В	By: /s/ Patrice L. Bishop
	Patrice L. Bishop 10940 Wilshire Boulevard
	Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90024
	Tel: (310) 209-2468 Fax: (310) 209-2087
	Howard T. Longman
	STULL, STULL & BRODY 6 East 45th Street
	New York, NY 10017 Tel: (212) 687-7230 Fax: (212) 490-2022
	Gary S. Graifman
	KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFMAN 747 Chestnut Ridge Road
	Chestnut Ridge, New York 10977 Tel: (845) 356-2570
	Fax: (845) 356-4335
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	amenable, for approximately 90 days from 2010, or a later date as ordered by the Confidence of the Con

1	Dated: December 29, 2009		George A. Riley Luann L. Simmons
2			Robert D. Tronnes O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
3			
4		By:	/s/ Robert D. Tronnes
5			Robert D. Tronnes Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
6			Tel: (415) 984-8700 Fax: (415) 984-8701
7			Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.
8			
9	Dated: December 29, 2009		Douglas R. Young C. Brandon Wisoff
10			Grace K. Won
11			Stephanie Skaff Sebastian A. Jerez
12			FARELLA, BRAUN + MARTEL LLP
13		By:	/s/ Douglas R. Young
14			/s/ Douglas R. Young Douglas R. Young 235 Montgomery Street
15			17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104
16			Tel: (415) 954-4400 Fax: (415) 954-4480
17			Attorneys for Defendants Steven Jobs, William V.
18			Campbell, Millard S. Drexler, Arthur D. Levinson, and Jerome P. York
19	Dated: December 29, 2009		Jerome C. Roth
20			Yohance C. Edwards Genevieve A. Cox
21			MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
22			
23		By:	/s/ Yohance C. Edwards Yohance C. Edwards
24			560 Mission Street 27th Floor
25			San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 512-4000
			Fax: (415) 512-4000
26			Attorneys for Defendant Fred D. Anderson
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		4

1	Dated: December 29, 2009 Sarah A. Good Jin H. Kim
2	HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FALK & RABKIN PC
3	
4	By: _/s/ Sarah A. Good
5	Sarah A. Good Three Embarcadero Center
6	Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
7	Tel: (415) 434-1600 Fax: (415) 677-6262
8	Attorneys for Defendant Nancy R. Heinen
9	
10	
11	I, Robert D. Tronnes, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this
12	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Continuing Case Management Conference. In compliance with
13	General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Patrice L. Bishop, Douglas R. Young, Yohance C.
14	Edwards, and Sarah A. Good have concurred in this filing.
15	By: /s/ Robert D. Tronnes Robert D. Tronnes
16	Robert D. Tronnes
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
23 24	
232425	
23242526	
232425	

ORDERED THAT: 1. 2. 1/4/2010 Dated: _ MP1:1187463.1

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Case Management Conference scheduled for Friday, January 8, 2010, at 10:30 a.m. is hereby continued to Friday, April 9, 2010, at 10:30 a.m.
- 2. Plaintiffs and Defendants promptly shall notify this Court after the Ninth Circuit issues a ruling on NYCERS's Appeal.

Holorable Jere ny Figel United States District Court Judge